**International Journal of Healthcare Sciences** ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)
Vol. 4, Issue 2, pp: (1310-1316), Month: October 2016 - March 2017, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

# Screening Methods and Treatment of Depression in Family Practice

[1]Alenazi, Ahmad Awad R, [2]Alenazi ,Tahani Baqqan S, [3]Albaker Amnah Farhan M, [4]Aldossary, Sultan Mubarek N, [5]Alanazi Saad Madallah T, [6]Alanazi Yasir Wadi A, [7]Alanazi Abdulaziz Mashan R, [8]Yasser Musallam AlRehaili, [9]Alenezi, Mohammad Matar A

***Abstract:*** **This study was aimed to evaluate the screening procedures and treatment approaches of depression in primary care setting by family physicians, and to identify the impact of depression management and the antidote of FPs toward the recognition and treatment of this disorder. We conducted an electronic search using; Cochrane Collaboration librarian using the MEDLINE and PubMed databases. The search was to identify relevant studies discussing measurement and diagnosis of depression in Family practice, this search was for articles that were published in English in the mentioned databases up to December, 2016. Furthermore, additional studies were identified from searching the references listed of each included study. Depression is a typical illness especially for patients in the 3rd decade. Boosts the number of males suffering from depression which proved to be true in our research. Depression is more typical amongst jobless people, so according to evidence about 60% of depressed patients in primary care were out of work. In both sexes normally happens mild depression that could be avoided with sufficient psychotherapy access and rehabilitation, however applied on time. The modest frequency of depression in medical care means that misidentifications surpass missed out on cases. Medical diagnosis could be enhanced by re-assessment of people who may have depression. The Family physicians need to boost their efforts to acknowledge depression in their patients and make sure that the myriad of reliable treatments are offered and utilized.**

***Keywords:*** **Screening Methods and Treatment, Family Practice.**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mood disorders are among the most typical afflictions that bring patients to physicians. Nearly 20% of adults will have a mood condition requiring treatment during their lifetime, and about 8% of adults will have a major depressive condition during their lives [(1)]. Recognition and treatment of depressive disorders represent a terrific challenge for family physicians due to the fact that the signs of physical and psychological diseases overlap and many patients present with comorbidities instead of with basic cases. Psychiatric disorders account for practically a quarter of family practice guests [(2,3)], around 60% of depressed patients in family practice are left neglected and unidentified [(4)]. Depression represent an excellent part of all psychiatric diseases in family practice and they are connected with each other in 50 - 70% of the patients [(5)]. Depressive conditions are highly prevalent and have a high occurrence [(6,7)]. They are likewise associated with huge losses of quality of life in patients and their loved ones [(8)], increased death rates, [(9)] high levels of service use, and enormous economic costs [(10)]. Major depression is presently the 4th condition worldwide in regards to disease burden, and is expected to be the disorder with the greatest disease burden in high-income nations by the year 2030 [(11)].

The majority of depressive conditions are dealt with in medical care [(12)]. Although numerous family doctor (FPs) tend to prescribe antidepressant medications, the majority of patients prefer mental treatments [(13)]. In the last few years, a number of randomized research studies have taken a look at the effectiveness of psychological treatments in medical care patients [(14,15)]. Some of these discovered favorable impacts, [(16,17)] but a number of others found no significant effects [(18,19)]. It is essential to examine the general efficiency of treatments and to study possible determinants of treatment outcome, as

psychological treatments for depression are extensively used in primary care. Patients registered in primary care typically have a less progressed developmental stage of their health problem than patients in specialized health care settings, and this might be associated with the effectiveness of mental treatments [20].

This study was aimed to evaluate the screening procedures and treatment approaches of depression in primary care setting by family physicians, and to identify the impact of depression management and the antidote of FPs toward the recognition and treatment of this disorder.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

We conducted an electronic search using; Cochrane Collaboration librarian using the MEDLINE and PubMed databases. The search was to identify relevant studies discussing measurement and diagnosis of depression in Family practice, this search was for articles that were published in English in the mentioned databases up to December, 2016. Furthermore, additional studies were identified from searching the references listed of each included study.

## 3. RESULTS

- ***Prevalence of depression in primary care:***

In primary care settings, about 5 % of adults aged 65 and older meet research diagnostic requirements for major depression [21,22], with rates of subsyndromal depression approximated at 8% -16% [23]. Information from the National Comorbidity Study were used to approximate the predicted life time risk of significant depression to be 23 % by age 75 [24]. Current epidemiological information shows general rates of depression to be comparable between industrialized nations (5.5%) and establishing countries (5.9%), however rates of depression have the tendency to decrease with age in developed countries while they have the tendency to increase with age in establishing nations. Older grownups in industrialized nations were reported to have reasonably low average depression rates (2.6 %) while those in establishing countries had an average rate nearly three times higher (7.5%) [25]. The rates of geriatric depression boost to 12-30% in institutional settings, and approximately 50% for homeowners in long-term care facilities [26,27]. Approximately 5-10% of older grownups seen in primary care settings have clinically substantial depression [28]. Women suffering from depression most likely and the ratio is from 1:1.5 to 1:2 compared with guys. The outcomes of our study did disappoint it as suggested by the ratio was statistically substantial and amounts 1.07. When they have a depressive condition or it's about a preconception [28], perhaps males do not seek for help.

- ***Screening methods of depression in primary care:***

Screening for depression in primary care is a problem that is highly contentious and fiercely disputed, and suggestions have actually progressed gradually. Early policy declarations from the 1990s in Canada [29] and the United States [30] suggested against screening for depression in primary care [29] or did not find adequate evidence to recommend either for or against it [30]. Later, in 2002 in the United States [31] and in 2005 in Canada, [32] suggestions were made to screen grownups for depression in medical care settings when incorporated, staff-assisted systems for handling and assessing depression were available. These "collective care" programs typically include multifaceted systems with central roles for nonmedical specialists, such as case managers, who deal with medical care physicians, mental health specialists and others to supply management and follow-up [33].

In 2009, an updated declaration from the United States Preventive Services Task Force [34] reiterated the recommendation that primary care physicians screen patients for depression in the context of integrated systems for managing the condition, but not where such resources are unavailable. In contrast to this position, a 2010 standard for depression management from the United Kingdom's National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence kept in mind a lack of evidence that depression screening would benefit patients and did not suggest routine screening in medical care settings [35].

One effective tool for determining the seriousness and recognizing of depressive signs is the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), which is based upon 9 Statistical and diagnostic Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) requirements for the diagnosis of MDD [36]. The PHQ-9 is rapidly and quickly completed, can be self-administered, and offers a method not just to examine the patient at initial diagnosis of major depression however also during his/her course of treatment [37]. A PHQ-9 rating of 19 indicates that robust therapy might be in order, while a patient who ratings 9 may just need workout, relaxation, and counseling. Other instruments, such as the Beck Depression

Inventory [38], Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale [39], and the 16-item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS) [40].

Because these patients are much more most likely to look for treatment when they are depressed than when they are manic, evaluating for bipolar disorder is likewise a crucial part of patient assessment. The Mood Disorder Questionnaire [41] is a beneficial tool in this regard. A family history of bipolar illness and a exaggerated and early action to antidepressant monotherapy-- especially combined depression or a switch to mania-- are also signs to try to find when evaluating for bipolar affective disorder, noted Dr. Susman. Hirschfeld et al [42]. discovered that, in a medical care clinic, 21% of patients taking an antidepressant for depression screened favorable for bipolar disorder. If a patient has bipolar affective disorder and not depression, the treatment strategy, including pharmacotherapy, will be quite various.

- ***Treatment of depression in Family practice:***

Although older adults are less most likely to access and get appropriate mental health care services than their younger equivalents, late life depression is treatable with suitable psychosocial and pharmacological interventions [43,44]. Evidence reveals that depression can be dealt with in both primary care settings and psychiatric specialty care settings, as long as effective treatments are supplied. In a current meta-analysis, Dawson and colleagues [45] found that the remission rate of depression symptoms in interventions in primary care settings range between 50% and 67% although the research studies included did not focus specifically on older adults. Antidepressant medications or psychotherapy are suggested as first-line treatments for depression in older adults [46] and while millions of prescriptions are written for antidepressant medications in medical care each year, few practices remain in a position to use evidence-based psychiatric therapies for depression. Physical activity has actually also been shown to be helpful in late-life depression and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) remains a practical and crucial treatment alternative for older grownups with psychotic or extreme, treatment resistant depression [47].

Patients with depression and somatic signs are harder to deal with. Papakostas and associates [49] showed that somatic symptoms existed in 95% of patients with treatment-resistant depression (N = 40) who had actually registered in a 6-week treatment study. Logistic regression analysis demonstrated that the variety of somatic symptoms was a risk factor for further treatment resistance and had the tendency to forecast a poorer action to treatment. The intensity of somatic symptoms appears to be associated to bad treatment action. Bair and associates [50] utilized data from the ARTIST (A Randomized Trial Investigating SSRI Treatment) research study a randomized research study with naturalistic follow-up performed in the United States in 37 medical care centers to show that the severity of standard general pains and discomforts might anticipate action to antidepressant treatment. More than 2 thirds of the depressed patients in this research study reported basic pains and discomforts of differing seriousness at standard. Analysis of depression outcomes after 3 months of treatment with selective serotonin reup-take inhibitors exposed that patients with moderately serious pains and discomforts at baseline were 2 times less most likely to respond to treatment. Patients with severe pains and discomforts at standard were 4.1 times less likely to react to treatment [50].

Remarkably, in the ARTIST research study, recurring general aches and pains of mild intensity or above existed in 58% of patients with depression after 3 months of antide-pressant treatment [50]. Recurring depressive signs are understood for their association with poor outcome in depression. In a study of 60 patients dealt with to remission and after that followed up for 15 months, Paykel and coworkers [51] found that 19 patients had recurring depressive signs; the most typical recurring signs were somatic, taking place in 18 (95%) of the 19 patients. Relapse happened in 76% of patients with residual symptoms who were available for follow-up, compared to only 25% of patients without recurring symptoms (10/40 patients). Patients with residual signs fell back nearly 3 times faster than those without [51].

- ***Quality of depression treatment in Family practice:***

Although depression is a common problem in older grownups, it is frequently undiscovered, undiagnosed, without treatment, or undertreated [52]. A current meta-analysis showed that primary care service providers found just 40-50% of depression amongst older grownups and they were less successful detecting depression amongst older adults than amongst younger grownups [53]. Only about one in five older adults with depression receives effective treatment for depression in primary care [54]. Poor quality care results in unfavorable depression outcomes and serious public health problems. In a research study of 1,198 consecutive suicide attempters in Helsinki, Finland in between 1997 and 1998, Suominent and colleagues [55] found that throughout the 12 months instantly before the attempt, most of elderly suicide attempters had a contact with a healthcare agency. Only 4% of them had been diagnosed with a state of mind disorder prior to the effort,

and just 57% after the effort. This finding stresses the value of early detection and treatment of late-life depression in primary care.

Barriers to reliable late-life depression treatment are at the patient-, service provider-, and system-level [56]. Patients might present with somatic instead of emotional grievances, decreasing the probability of being identified with depression [57]. Patients may likewise resist a diagnosis of depression and attribute their signs to physical causes or to 'typical aging [58]. Patients typically have restricted understanding about depression and available treatments. Special help looking for patterns among particular population groups, preconception, and poor adherence have actually been likewise identified as barriers. Service provider barriers consist of concerns about stigmatizing patients with a psychiatric medical diagnosis [59], time pressures, insufficient understanding about diagnostic requirements or treatment alternatives, lack of a psychosocial orientation, and inadequate insight into different cultural presentations of mental disorders [59]. System barriers include productivity pressures, minimal mental health coverage, minimal availability of mental health experts, especially for evidence-based psychotherapy [60], absence of systematic approaches for detecting and managing depression, and insufficient continuity of care. Policies that regulate suppliers' practice contexts and patients' access to evidence-based depression care can produce essential barriers to effective treatment [56].

## 4. CONCLUSION

Depression is a typical illness especially for patients in the 3rd decade. Boosts the number of males suffering from depression which proved to be true in our research. Depression is more typical amongst jobless people, so according to evidence about 60% of depressed patients in primary care were out of work. In both sexes normally happens mild depression that could be avoided with sufficient psychotherapy access and rehabilitation, however applied on time. The modest frequency of depression in medical care means that misidentifications surpass missed out on cases. Medical diagnosis could be enhanced by re-assessment of people who may have depression. The Family physicians need to boost their efforts to acknowledge depression in their patients and make sure that the myriad of reliable treatments are offered and utilized.

## REFERENCES

[1] Murphy JM, Laird NM, Monson RR, Sobel AM, Leighton AH. A 40-year perspective on the prevalence of depression: the Stirling County Study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000;57:209-15.

[2] Goldberg DP, Huxley P (1992) Common mental disorders: a bio-social model. Tavistock/Routledge, London, New York.

[3] King M, Nazareth I, Levy G, Walker C, Morris R, Weich S, et al (2008) Prevalence of common mental disorders in general practice attendees across Europe. Br J Psychiatry 192: 362–7

[4] Ani C, Bazargan M, Hindman D, Bell D, A Farooq M, Akhanjee L, et al (2008) Depression symptomatology and diagnosis: discordance between patients and physicians in primary care settings. BMC Fam Pract 9: 1

[5] Kaplan BI, Sadock BJ (1996) Pocket handbook of primary care psychiatry. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore

[6] Waraich P, Goldner EM, Somers JM, Hsu L. Prevalence and incidence studies of mood disorders: a systematic review of the literature. Can J Psychiatry. 2004;49(2):124–138.

[7] Ustun TB, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Chatterji S, et al. Global burden of depressive disorders in the year 2000. Br J Psychiatry. 2004;184:386–392.

[8] Saarni SI, Suvisaari J, Sintonen H, et al. Impact of psychiatric disorders on health-related quality of life: general population survey. Br J Psychiatry. 2007;190:326–332.

[9] Cuijpers P, Smit F. Excess mortality in depression: a meta-analysis of community studies. J Affect Dis. 2002;72(3):227–236.

[10] Greenberg PE, Birnbaum HG. The economic burden of depression in the US: societal and patient perspectives. Exp Opin Pharmacother. 2005;6(3):369–376.

[11] Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. PLoS Med. 2006;3(11):e442.

[12] Bijl RV, Ravelli A. Psychiatric morbidity, service use, and need for care in the general population: results of The Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study. Am J Public Health. 2000;90(4):602–607.

[13] Schaik D, Klijn A, van Hout H, et al. Patients' preferences in the treatment of depressive disorder in primary care. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2004;26(3):184–189.

[14] Bower P, Rowland N. Effectiveness and cost effectiveness of counselling in primary care. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;3:CD001025.

[15] Huibers MJ, Beurskens AJ, Bleijenberg G, van Schayck CP. Psychosocial interventions by general practitioners. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;3 CD003494.

[16] Mynors-Wallis LM, Gath DH, Lloyd-Thomas AR, Tomlinson D. Randomised controlled trial comparing problem solving treatment with amitriptyline and placebo for major depression in primary care. BMJ. 1995;310(6977):441–445.

[17] Schulberg HC, Block MR, Madonia MJ, et al. Treating major depression in primary care practice. Eight-month clinical outcomes. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1996;53(10):913–919.

[18] Barrett JE, Williams JW, Jr, Oxman T E, et al. Treatment of dysthymia and minor depression in primary care: a randomized trial in patients aged 18 to 59 years. Br J Fam Pract. 2001;50(5):405–412.

[19] Lynch D, Tamburrino M, Nagel R, Smith MK. Telephone-based treatment for family practice patients with mild depression. Psychol Rep. 2004;94(3 pt 1):785–792.

[20] Simpson S, Corney R, Fitzgerald P, Beecham J. A randomized controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of psychodynamic counselling for general practice patients with chronic depression. Psychol Med. 2003;33:229–239.

[21] Mojtabai R, Olfson M. Major depression in community-dwelling middle-aged and older adults: prevalence and 2- and 4-year follow-up symptoms. Psychological Medicine. 2004;34(04):623–634.

[22] Byers AL, Yaffe K, Covinsky KE, Friedman MB, Bruce ML. High Occurrence of Mood and Anxiety Disorders Among Older Adults: The National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010 May 1;67(5):489–496. 2010.

[23] Blazer DG. Depression in Late Life: Review and Commentary. Focus. 2009 January 1;7(1):118–136. 2009.

[24] Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime Prevalence and Age-of-Onset Distributions of DSM-IV Disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005 June 1;62(6):593–602. 2005.

[25] Kessler RC, Birnbaum HG, Shahly V, et al. Age differences in the prevalence and co-morbidity of DSM-IV major depressive episodes: results from the WHO World Mental Health Survey Initiative. Depression and Anxiety. 2010;27(4):351–364.

[26] Teresi J, Abrams R, Holmes D, Ramirez M, Eimicke J. Prevalence of depression and depression recognition in nursing homes. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 2001;36(12):613–620.

[27] Hoover DR, Siegel M, Lucas J, et al. Depression in the first year of stay for elderly long-term nursing home residents in the U.S.A. International Psychogeriatrics. 2010:1–11. First View.

[28] Lyness JM, Caine ED, King DA, Cox C, Yoediono Z. Psychiatric Disorders in Older Primary Care Patients. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 1999;14(4):249–254.

[29] Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination Early detection of depression. In: The Canadian guide to clinical preventive health care. Ottawa (ON): Health Canada; 1994. p. 450–4

[30] US Preventive Services Task Force Screening for depression. In: Guide to clinical preventive services: Report of the US Preventive Services Task Force. 2nd ed Washington (DC): US Department of Health and Human Services; 1996. p. 541–6

[31] US Preventive Services Task Force Screening for depression: Recommendations and rationale. Ann Intern Med 2002;136:760–4.

[32] MacMillan HL, Patterson CJ, Wathen CN, et al. Screening for depression in primary care: recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. CMAJ 2005; 172:33–5.

[33] Katon WJ, Seelig M. Population-based care of depression: Team care approaches to improving outcomes. J Occup Environ Med 2008;50:459–67.

[34] U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Screening for depression in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:784–92

[35] National Collaborating Center for Mental Health The NICE guideline on the management and treatment of depression in adults (updated edition). London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2010

[36] Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16:606–613.

[37] Pfizer Inc. Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9). 2005 Available at: http://www.phqscreeners.com/pdfs/phq-9/English.pdf. Accessed Jan 4, 2008.

[38] Beck AT, Ward CH, and Mendelson M. et al. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1961 4:561–571.

[39] Zung WWK. A self-rating depression scale. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1965;12:63–70.

[40] Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, and Ibrahim HM. et al. The 16-item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), Clinician Rating (QIDS-C), and Self-Report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2003 54:573–583.

[41] Hirschfeld RMA, Williams JBW, Spitzer RL. Development and validation of a screening instrument for bipolar spectrum disorder: the mood questionnaire. Am J Psychiatry. 2000;157:1873–1875.

[42] Hirschfeld RM, Cass AR, and Holt DC. et al. Screening for bipolar disorder in patients treated for depression in a family medicine clinic. J Am Board Fam Pract. 2005 18:233–239.

[43] Pirraglia PA, Rosen AB, Hermann RC, Olchanski NV, Neumann P. Cost-utility analysis studies of deprssion management: A systematic review. Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161:2155–2162.

[44] Mottram PG, Wilson K, Strobl JJ. Antidepressants for depressed elderly. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2006;(Issue 1) Art. No.: CD003491.

[45] Fournier JC, DeRubeis RJ, Hollon SD, et al. Antidepressant Drug Effects and Depression Severity: A Patient-Level Meta-analysis. Jama. 2010 January 6;303(1):47–53. 2010.

[46] Dawson M, Michalak E, Waraich P, Anderson J, Lam R. Is remission of depressive symptoms in primary care a realistic goal? A meta-analysis. BMC Family Practice. 2004:5.

[47] Unützer J. Late-Life Depression. New England Journal of Medicine. 2007;357(22):2269–2276.

[48] Unutzer J. Clinical practice. Late-life depression. N Engl J Med. 2007 Nov 29;357(22):2269–2276.

[49] Papakostas GI, Petersen T, and Denninger J. et al. Somatic symptoms in treatment-resistant depression. Psychiatry Res. 2003 118:39–45.

[50] Bair MJ, Robinson RL, and Eckert GJ. et al. Impact of pain on depression treatment response in primary care. Psychosom Med. 2004 66:17–22.

[51] Paykel ES, Ramana R, and Cooper Z. et al. Residual symptoms after partial remission: an important outcome in depression. Psychol Med. 1995 25:1171–1180.

[52] Unutzer J. Diagnosis and treatment of older adults with depression in primary care. Biol Psychiatry. 2002 Aug 1;52(3):285–292.

[53] Mitchell AJ, Rao S, Vaze A. Do Primary Care Physicians Have Particular Difficulty Identifying Late-Life Depression? A Meta-Analysis Stratified by Age. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics. 2010;79(5):285–294.

[54] Unutzer J, Katon W, Callahan CM, et al. Collaborative Care Management of Late-Life Depression in the Primary Care Setting: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Jama. 2002 December 11;288(22):2836–2845. 2002.

[55] Suominen K, Isometsä E, Lönnqvist J. Elderly suicide attempters with depression are often diagnosed only after the attempt. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2004;19(1):35–40.

[56] Unutzer J, Schoenbaum M, Druss BG, Katon WJ. Transforming Mental Health Care at the Interface With General Medicine: Report for the Presidents Commission. Psychiatr Serv. 2006 January 1;57(1):37–47. 2006.

[57] Wittchen H-U, Lieb R, Wunderlich U, Schuster P. Comorbidity in Primary Care: Presentation and Consequences. J Clin Psychiatry. 1999;60(suppl 7):29–36.

[58] Drayer RA, Mulsant BH, Lenze EJ, et al. Somatic symptoms of depression in elderly patients with medical comorbidities. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2005;20(10):973–982.

[59] Docherty J. Barriers to the diagnosis of depression in primary care. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 1997;58(Suppl 1):5–10.

[60] Goldman L, Nielsen N, Champion H. Awareness, diagnosis, and treatment of depression. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 1999;14(9):569–580.